

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,750	03/20/2002	Nobuhiko Nakashima	3190-015	8810
33432 7	12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 400 HOLIDAY COURT			KAM, CHIH MIN	
SUITE 102	COURT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WARRENTON, VA 20186			1656	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/088,750	NAKASHIMA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Chih-Min Kam	1656
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the practice under the practice under the condition of the practice under the condition of the practice under th	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,9,12-25 and 27-31</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,12,14,18,19,28 and 27-31</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9,13,15-17,20-24,27,30 and 31</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/organical subject to res</u>	and 29 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 2005</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-4, 9, 12-25 and 27-31 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed on October 7, 2005 is acknowledged. Applicants' response has been fully considered. Claims 16, 20, 21, 24, 25 and 30 have been amended, and claim 26 has been cancelled. Claims 1-4, 12, 14, 18, 19, 28 and 29 are non-elected inventions and withdrawn from consideration. Thus, claims 9, 13, 15-17, 20-25, 27, 30 and 31 are examined.

Sequence Listing

2. A paper copy of sequence listing amended to include original sequence listing (i.e., SEQ ID NOs:1-7, the IGR-IRES regions of various CrP-like viruses; filed March 20, 2002) and additional seven sequences shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 4 (the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7 plus 12 additional bases at the 3' end) filed October 7, 2005 is acknowledged, and CRF has been entered.

Withdrawn Objection

3. The previous objection to the disclosure, regarding the description of Figs. 1, 2 and 4, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the specification, and applicant's response at page 15 of the amendment filed October 7, 2005.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The previous rejection of claims 13, 15, 21, 25, 26, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of the claims, applicant's

amendment of the claims, and applicant's response at pages 19-20 of in the amendment filed October 7, 2005.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. Previous rejection of claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki *et al.* (J. Virology, 73, 1219-1226 (1999)) is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of the claims in the amendment filed October 7, 2005.
- 6. The previous rejection of claims 9, 13, 15, 16, 20-24, 26, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sasaki *et al.* (PNAS 97, No. 4, 1512-1515 (February 2000)), is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of the claims, applicant's amendment of the claims, and applicant's response at pages 19-20 of the amendment filed October 7, 2005.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Previous rejection of claims 9, 13, 15-17, 20, 21, 24, 27, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, and the response to the argument is shown below.

Claims 9, 13, 15-17, 20, 21, 24, 27, 30 and 31 are directed to a method of synthesizing a heterologous polypeptide or a method of initiating synthesis of arbitrary heterologous polypeptide in vitro, the method comprising utilizing a polynucleotide that promotes translation activity and has an RNA higher-order structure including PK (pseudoknot) I, II and III structures, wherein the polynucleotide encoding the heterologous polypeptide is immediately downstream from the PKI structure of the polynucleotide that promotes translation activity, and wherein the RNA higher-order structure may comprise a base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7, a base sequence having at least about 50% of homology to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7, a complementary strand of the base sequence, a sequence hybridizing to the base sequence under stringent condition, or a base sequence that has been modified and has a function for promoting a translation activity. While the specification discloses an RNA higher-order structure having a function of promoting translation activity contains a base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-6 or 7 (pages 6-7); the RNA higher-order structure of SEQ ID NO:1 containing three pseudoknot structures (PK I, II and III) contributes to the initiation and acceleration of translation of a protein (e.g., luciferase) in vitro and a specific mutation of PK I in the PSIV-IRES permits translation of a GFP gene, where in vitro translation was carried out using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Example 1; Figs. 7 and 8); and utilizing a mutated PSIV-IRES permitted translating a heterologous protein that begins with an arbitrary amino acid in cell-free system using a wheat germ extract (Example 2, Fig. 9), it does not describe a genus of variants for an RNA higherorder structure having PK I, II and III structures and a function for promoting translation activity, where the polynucleotide sequence of the RNA higher-order structure is not defined, or the polynucleotide sequence, which is related to SEQ ID NO:1-7, is not identified. For example, the

specification does not identify the portion of the polynucleotide sequence identical to the base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 in the sequence having at least 50% homology to the base sequence, which nucleotides in the base sequence are modified (i.e., including deletion, substitution, addition or insertion) and remain functional, or, which sequence hybridizing to the base sequence under stringent condition or which complementary sequence of a base sequence variant is functional; nor demonstrates any of these polynucleotide variants has translation activity. Without guidance on structure to function/activity relationship for variants of RNA higher-order structure or variants of SEQ ID NO: 1-7, one skilled in the art would not know which nucleotides in the RNA higher-order structure or SEQ ID NO:1-7 are essential for its translation activity, and how to identify a functional polynucleotde among numerous polynucleotides. The lack of description of the structure to function/activity relationship for variants of an higher-order RNA structure or SEQ ID NO:1-7 and the lack of representative species as encompassed by the claims, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Response to Argument

Applicants indicate the present specification contains a thorough explanation of what is meant by an RNA higher-order structure including PK (pseudoknot) I, II, and III structures, particularly in Example 1 at pages 14 - 15 and Figures 5 - 6. The genus of polynucleotides that contain the RNA higher-order structures including PK (pseudoknot) I, II, and III structures is thoroughly described on pages 4-8. Further, the guidelines provided by the USPTO in the M.P.E.P, Section 2163 state that the written description requirement may be satisfied through a

sufficient description of a representative number of species or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, such as structure or other physical or chemical properties. The present invention provides both: a thorough description of the higher order structure including PK I, II, and III structures and seven examples (SEQ ID NO: 1-7) of polynucleotides containing this structure, with a thorough illustration in Figures 4 - 6 of how the higher order structure is formed by base pairing in each of SEQ ID NO:1-7. Regarding the comments by Examiners that the specification does not teach how to identify a functional polynucleotide among sequences related to SEQ ID NO: 1-7, the specification clearly teaches, for instance, at pages 14-15 that a polynucleotide that has the base pair and stem loop formation for forming the higher order structure for promoting translation can be determined with an RNA secondary structurepredicting program such as MFOLD, and the formation of a higher order structure can be readily identified from structures that have the correct stem loop formation in their secondary structure by determining base pairing as illustrated in Figures 5 and 6. Therefore, identifying functional polynucleotides according to the present invention is well within the skill of persons skilled in the art combined with the teachings of the present specification. Moreover, the Examiner has not presented any specific reason for including claim 24 in this rejection. Claim 24 is directed to the method of the present invention wherein the RNA higher-order structure comprises a base sequence of the sequences of SEQ ID NOs: 1-7, except that the base sequence contains an alteration in one or more combinations of base pairs that make up PKI so that polynucleotide that promotes translation activity is able to initiate translation activity of a heterologous protein or heterologous peptide without an AUG translation initiation codon (see pages 13-14 of the

Application/Control Number: 10/088,750

Art Unit: 1656

specification). Thus, the specification provides a written description of the claimed invention (pages 16-19 of the response).

Applicants' response has been considered, however, the argument is not persuasive because the specification merely describes specific higher-order RNA structures with a defined base sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7 (Example 1, Figs. 15 and 16; pages 5-6) and a specific mutation in the in the PK I of PSIV-IRES (Fig. 7), and provides a general description regarding sequence homology, mutation, complementary sequence or hybridization on the base sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1-7 (pages 7-8), it does not provide sufficient teachings on the identities of the functional polynucleotide variants for higher-order RNA structures with no defined sequences, or for polynucleotides related to variants of SEQ ID NO:1-7 such as sequences having at least 50% homology to SEQ ID NO:1-7 and modified sequence of SEQ ID NO:1-7. Regarding using an RNA secondary structure-predicting program such as MFOLD to identify the formation of a higher order structure, the specification also indicates the method does not permit detecting any conservation concerning the complementary sequence playing an important role in the function of IRES, thus, a mutation introduction experiment has to be used to investigate the function of RNA higher-order structure (page 14). Thus, a polynucleotide without a defined sequence cannot be identified to have an RNA higher-order structure, and even a polynucleotide variant of SEQ ID NO: 1-7 can be identified to have higher-order structure, the function of the polynucleotide variant of SEQ ID NO:1-7 can only be verified with further experimentation. Therefore, without establishing the correlation of the structure to function/activity for variants of higher-order RNA structures or SEQ ID NO:1-7, the functional polynucleotide variant of higherorder RNA structures or SEQ ID NO:1-7 cannot be readily identified. Regarding claim 24, since

Art Unit: 1656

the specification only discloses a specific mutation in PKI of SEQ ID NO:1 (pages 13-14), it does not discloses an alteration (i.e., deletion, substitution, addition or insertion) in one or more combinations of base pairs that make up PKI of the base sequence so that polynucleotide that promotes translation activity. Therefore, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is indefinite because the claim, which recites at least PK I, II and III structures are maintained in the RNA higher-order structure, does not further limit claim 21, which depends from claim 20, and claim 20 recites the polynucleotide has an RNA higher-order structure including PK I, II and III structures.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Previous rejection of claims 9, 13, 15, 16 and 20-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki *et al.* (J. Virology, 73, 1219-1226 (1999)) is maintained. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, and the response to the argument is shown below.

Sasaki et al teach AUG-unrelated translation initiation is mediated by the internal ribosome entry site (IRES) of an insect picorna-like virus (i.e., *Plautia stali* intestine virus (PSIV)) in vitro, where the positive-strand RNA genome of the virus contains two nonoverlapping open reading frames (ORFs), and the capsid protein gene is located in the 3'proximal ORF and lacks an AUG initiation codon (Fig. 1); the capsid protein gene was translated cap independently in the presence of the upstream cistron, indicating that the capsid protein is translated by internal ribosome entry; (pages 1220-1221; Figs 2 and 3). The reference also teaches a LUC (luciferase) gene was used as the second cistron, and in the CAT-IRES-LUC series of constructs, LUC genes without an AUG initiation codon was ligated to the PSIV sequences (Fig. 5; claims 9, 15, 16), and the LUC gene was efficiently translated when fused down stream of nt 6201 (pCAT-IRES₆₂₀₁-LUC) and nt 6264 (pCAT-IRES₆₂₆₄-LUC) in vitro (pages 1221-1222; Figs. 5 and 7; claim 20), where the 3' boundary of the IRES is located between nt 6196 and 6201, which indicates the IRES extends into the capsid-coding region (page 1222, left column, lines 1-5) and the IRES₆₂₀₁ contains SEQ ID NO:1 (nt 6005-6192, 188 nucleotides; claims 13, 21, 22 and 23). Although the reference does not specifically indicate the IRES₆₂₀₁ of PSIV has an RNA higher-order structure (PK I, II or III), the IRES₆₂₀₁ sequence contains SEQ ID NO:1 and has the function of promoting translation activity, thus it would be expected that the IRES sequence has at least PK I, II or III structure, thus the reference anticipates the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1656

Response to Argument

Applicants indicate Sasaki et al. confirms only the translation of a virus coat protein and luciferase genes as a fusion protein, that is, a protein that contains at least some of both the native virus coat protein and luciferase. While claims 20 and 30 of instant application, as amended, clarify that in the synthesis of a heterologous protein or polypeptide, the polynucleotide encoding the heterologous protein or heterologous polypeptide is immediately downstream from the PKI structure of the polynucleotide that promotes translation activity. As described in the sequence listing, a previous error in the sequence listing has been corrected so that SEQ ID NO: 1-7 do not include the virus coat protein encoding region of the sequences illustrated in Figs. 1, 2, and 4. Therefore, in the method of the present invention, there is no polynucleotide encoding a virus coat protein between the polynucleotide that promotes translation activity and the polynucleotide encoding the heterologous protein. This allows for the heterologous protein alone to be synthesized instead of a fusion protein that contains a portion of a virus coat protein along with the heterologous protein. In fact, Sasaki et al. (J. Virology) teaches away from the present invention by providing data that suggests that it is not possible to synthesize a protein using IRES unless a portion of the virus coat protein is included in the synthesis (see lanes 2 and 3 in Fig. 5). For these reasons, the rejection should be withdrawn (pages 20-22 of the response).

Applicants' response has been considered, however, the argument is not persuasive because the reference teaches in CAT-IRES-LUC series of constructs, LUC genes without an AUG initiation codon was ligated to the PSIV sequences (Fig. 5), and the LUC gene was efficiently translated when fused down stream of nt 6201 (pCAT-IRES₆₂₀₁-LUC, Fig. 5 lane 4) in vitro, where the 3' boundary of the IRES is located between nt 6196 and 6201, which indicates

Art Unit: 1656

the IRES extends into the capsid-coding region (page 1222, left column, lines 1-5), and the IRES₆₂₀₁ contains the same SEQ ID NO:1 as the claimed invention. Since the claim recites the polynucleotide encoding the heterologous protein is immediately downstream from PKI structure without indicating the nucleotide positions of the PKI structure, and the reference teaches the construct of pCAT-IRES₆₂₀₁-LUC, where the 3' boundary of the IRES is located between nt 6196 and 6201, and the IRES₆₂₀₁ of PSIV comprises SEQ ID NO:1, which has a function of promoting translation activity, thus, the polynucleotide of pCAT-IRES₆₂₀₁-LUC meets the limitation for the claimed method.

Claim Objection

10. Claim 25 is objected to because the claim is dependent from a rejected claim, claim 20.

Conclusion

11. Claims 9, 13, 15-17, 20-24, 27, 30 and 31 are rejected; and claim 25 is objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chris

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CHIH-MIN KAM PATENT EXAMINER Page 12

CMK

December 19, 2005